

Preclinical and clinical evidence of DNA methylation changes in response to trauma and chronic stress

Journal:	<i>Chronic Stress</i>
Manuscript ID	CSS-17-0009.R1
Manuscript Type:	SI: Invited Review – Inaugural Issue
Date Submitted by the Author:	n/a
Complete List of Authors:	Matosin, Natalie; Max Planck Institute of Psychiatry, Department for Translational Research in Psychiatry Cruceanu, Cristiana; Max Planck Institute of Psychiatry, Department for Translational Research in Psychiatry Binder, Elisabeth; Max Planck Institute of Psychiatry, Department for Translational Research in Psychiatry; Emory University School of Medicine
Keywords:	gene x environment, epigenetics, stress, chronic stress, trauma, PTSD, psychiatric disorders
Abstract:	Exposure to chronic stress, either repeated severe acute or moderate sustained stress, is one of the strongest risk factors for the development of psychopathologies such as post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD) and depression. Chronic stress is linked with several lasting biological consequences, particularly to the stress endocrine system but also affecting intermediate phenotypes such as brain structure and function, immune function, and behaviour. Although genetic predisposition confers a proportion of the risk, the most relevant molecular mechanisms determining those susceptible and resilient to the effects of stress and trauma may be epigenetic. Epigenetics refers to the mechanisms that regulate genomic information by dynamically changing the patterns of transcription and translation of genes. Mounting evidence from preclinical rodent and clinical population studies strongly support that epigenetic modifications can occur in response to traumatic and chronic stress. Here, we discuss this literature examining stress-induced epigenetic changes in preclinical models and clinical cohorts of stress and trauma occurring early in life or in adulthood. We highlight that a complex relationship between the timing of environmental stressors and genetic predispositions likely mediate the response to chronic stress over time, and that a better understanding of epigenetic changes is needed by further investigations in longitudinal and postmortem brain clinical cohorts.

Review, *Chronic Stress*

Preclinical and clinical evidence of DNA methylation changes in response to trauma and chronic stress

Natalie Matosin PhD¹, Cristiana Cruceanu PhD¹, Elisabeth B Binder MD PhD^{1,2*}

¹Department of Translational Research in Psychiatry, Max-Planck Institute of Psychiatry, Munich, Germany; ²Department of Psychiatry and Behavioral Sciences, Emory University School of Medicine, Atlanta, USA

* Corresponding Author

Elisabeth B Binder MD, PhD
Department of Translational Research in Psychiatry
Max Planck Institute of Psychiatry
Kraepelinstrasse 2-10 80804
Munich, Germany
Phone: +49 (0) 89-30622-586
Email: binder@psych.mpg.de

Running title: DNA methylation, trauma and chronic stress

Emails: natalie_matosin@psych.mpg.de; cristiana_cruceanu@psych.mpg.de; binder@psych.mpg.de

Keywords: gene x environment, epigenetics, stress, chronic stress, trauma, PTSD, psychiatric disorders

Word counts

Abstract: 204
Text: 5276
Figures: 0
Tables: 3
References: 108

1
2
3
4 **Abstract**
5

6 Exposure to chronic stress, either repeated severe acute or moderate sustained stress, is one of
7
8 the strongest risk factors for the development of psychopathologies such as post-traumatic
9
10 stress disorder (PTSD) and depression. Chronic stress is linked with several lasting biological
11
12 consequences, particularly to the stress endocrine system but also affecting intermediate
13
14 phenotypes such as brain structure and function, immune function, and behaviour. Although
15
16 genetic predisposition confers a proportion of the risk, the most relevant molecular
17
18 mechanisms determining those susceptible and resilient to the effects of stress and trauma
19
20 may be epigenetic. Epigenetics refers to the mechanisms that regulate genomic information
21
22 by dynamically changing the patterns of transcription and translation of genes. Mounting
23
24 evidence from preclinical rodent and clinical population studies strongly support that
25
26 epigenetic modifications can occur in response to traumatic and chronic stress. Here, we
27
28 discuss this literature examining stress-induced epigenetic changes in preclinical models and
29
30 clinical cohorts of stress and trauma occurring early in life or in adulthood. We highlight that
31
32 a complex relationship between the timing of environmental stressors and genetic
33
34 predispositions likely mediate the response to chronic stress over time, and that a better
35
36 understanding of epigenetic changes is needed by further investigations in longitudinal and
37
38 postmortem brain clinical cohorts.
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

19 **1. Introduction: defining chronic stress**

20 Exposure to chronic stressors across the human lifespan can multiply an individual's
21 susceptibility to adverse medical conditions.^{1,2} In this article, chronic stress refers to (1) a
22 series of intense traumatic events such as accidents, physical assault, sexual assault, natural
23 disasters or combat exposure, leading to psychopathologies such as complex PTSD,³ or (2)
24 non-traumatic but major events in life, whereby an individual is exposed to sustained periods
25 of stress, for example, caregiving, difficult divorce, or a stressful work environment leading to
26 burnout.⁴ Chronic stress is a robust risk factor for many medical conditions including
27 cardiovascular disease, obesity, cancer and immune disorders.⁵ It is also highly linked with
28 the development of a broad range of psychiatric illnesses, including post-traumatic stress
29 disorder (PTSD),⁶ major depressive disorder,⁶ bipolar disorder,⁷ schizophrenia,⁷ anxiety
30 disorders,⁸ substance abuse disorders⁹ and addiction¹⁰.

31 Chronic stress and trauma are associated with several lasting biological changes,
32 particularly of the stress endocrine systems. For example, enduring stress and trauma can lead
33 to permanent changes in the structure of several brain regions important for processing
34 traumatic information (e.g. decreased somatosensory field of genital region after childhood
35 sexual abuse¹¹), which might be an adaptive response to protect an individual from future
36 adversity.^{12,13} Interestingly, such adaptive mechanisms appear to occur in all individuals
37 exposed to similar levels of stress or trauma, regardless of diagnostic outcome. Yet, only a
38 fraction (~20%) of people who have experienced trauma go on to develop PTSD, while some
39 even experience posttraumatic growth.¹⁴ Additionally, some individuals may not develop
40 PTSD but other psychotic, anxiety or affective disorders – or a combination of these –
41 highlighting the heterogeneity of responses to stress.^{15,16} Considering that the outcomes
42 following chronic stress exposure differ greatly between individuals, susceptibility to
43 adversity resulting in psychopathology might be determined by how efficiently an individual
44 is able to compensate for adversity at the molecular level.

1
2
3 45 In addition to genetic factors, some of the most relevant molecular mechanisms that may
4
5 46 contribute to risk or resilience to the effects of stress and trauma are epigenetic. For example,
6
7 47 DNA methylation profiles in monozygotic twin pairs diverge as twins grow older, with the
8
9 48 differences between these genetically identical individuals at least partially explained by
10
11 49 differing environmental exposures such as psychological stress.¹⁷ Further, in genome-wide
12
13 50 association studies (GWAS) of stress-related psychiatric disorders, single genetic variants
14
15 51 explain only a small proportion of disease risk and overall do not completely explain
16
17 52 heritability.

18
19
20 53 Epigenetics refers to the mechanisms that regulate genomic information by dynamically
21
22 54 changing the patterns of transcription and translation of genes without altering the underlying
23
24 55 DNA sequence. This occurs by altering the accessibility of DNA to transcriptional regulators
25
26 56 by: (i) post-translational modifications of histone proteins, (ii) the addition of chemical groups
27
28 57 most commonly at cytosine-phosphate-guanine (CpG) sites (e.g. methylation,
29
30 58 hydroxymethylation or other modification), or (iii) the binding of non-coding RNAs to
31
32 59 specific sequences in DNA. A growing body of evidence convincingly shows that exposure to
33
34 60 adversity can cause long-lasting epigenetic changes that lead to widespread alterations of
35
36 61 gene expression. Such alterations are observed in both brain and peripheral tissues, indicating
37
38 62 the widespread effects of stress throughout the whole organism. Epigenetics thus provides a
39
40 63 mechanism by which the environment can have a global and lasting influence on the
41
42 64 expression of genes in an individual following stress exposure, with epigenetic processes
43
44 65 believed to underlie associations of disease burden, environmental risk and individual
45
46 66 phenotype.¹⁸ Elucidating the interface of gene and environment interactions can help lead to a
47
48 67 better understanding of the development of psychopathologies, and how they may be
49
50 68 prevented or treated. In this review, we summarise preclinical and clinical research findings
51
52 69 that support the existence of epigenetic modifications, particularly DNA methylation, in
53
54 70 response to conditions of chronic stress, highlighting the effects of chronic and traumatic

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

71 stressors occurring at different developmental time points and how this may lead to
72 vulnerability to severe psychiatric disorders.

For Peer Review

2. Epigenetics: overview and relevance

For environmental exposures to have lasting consequences on biological systems, alterations in gene transcription are required. This can occur by epigenetic modifications that influence chromatin state, and thus the accessibility of transcriptional machinery to regulatory regions such as gene promoters. Epigenetic modifications can be long lasting, and can elicit either targeted or genome-wide changes in gene expression by processes including DNA methylation, post-translational histone modifications, and non-coding RNA regulation. One of the best understood epigenetic mechanisms involves the methylation of cytosine (5-methylcytosine or 5mC) on DNA, which occurs most abundantly at cytosines followed by guanine residues.^{19,20} In most instances the presence of methylated cytosine recruits repressor complexes that modulate gene transcription by altering chromatin and inhibiting accessibility of the promoter to transcription factors and enhancers.²¹ Opposite effects have been reported in a site-specific manner, with inhibition of repressor binding or enhancement of methylation-specific enhancers.^{22,23} DNA methylation is considered to be a stable epigenetic mark in post-mitotic cells, although increasing evidence indicates that 5mC and 5-hydroxymethylcytosine (5hmC; the stable intermediary between methylation and de-methylation) are dynamic, reversible and modifiable under certain conditions.^{20,21}

Patterns of gene expression are also regulated by histone modifications,²⁴ which involve the covalent modification of histone amino-terminal tails by, for instance, methylation, acetylation, phosphorylation, or ubiquitination among others. Histone modifications can either alter the level of chromatin condensation by modifying electrostatic charge, or by recruiting epigenetic “readers” or “erasers” that bind to histones to respectively increase or decrease histone modifications. Epigenetic modifications to transcription and translation also extend to the effects of non-coding RNAs. These are short RNA species that act post-transcriptionally to repress protein synthesis, often by inducing degradation of mRNA targets.²⁵ Patterns of

1
2
3 98 epigenetic modifications are able to elicit functional effects that result in either gene
4
5 99 expression repression or enhancement.
6
7

8 100 Several genetic variants are consistently shown to interact with stress and trauma, with
9
10 101 some of these effects long-lasting. For example, in rodents exposed to stress early in life –
11
12 102 such as stress caused by changes in maternal behaviour – the epigenetic landscape of
13
14 103 prominent “stress genes” such as *NR3CI* and *CRH* can be altered, with these effects lasting
15
16 104 into adulthood.^{26,27} Similar epigenetic patterns have been observed in humans exposed to
17
18 105 childhood maltreatment who develop a mood disorder or PTSD later in life,²⁸ and epigenetic
19
20 106 alterations are found in adults exposed to periods of chronic stress including combat
21
22 107 exposure,²⁹ employment in a high-stress environment³⁰ or a high lifetime stress load.³¹ Thus,
23
24 108 chronic stress and trauma are environmental exposures that can cause lasting epigenetic
25
26 109 changes and lead to the development of psychiatric pathology.
27
28

29 110 A number of studies, ranging from twin to molecular genetic studies in large longitudinal
30
31 111 cohorts, indicate that the impact of adverse life events is likely moderated by genetic variants,
32
33 112 in the context gene x environment (GxE) interactions.^{32,33} The studies describe, however, only
34
35 113 statistical interactions by nature. Epigenetic marks could provide a mechanism that may link
36
37 114 how effects of genetic variants and environmental stimuli converge to regulate gene
38
39 115 transcription and in consequences changes in brain structure, endocrine responses or
40
41 116 behaviour that have been reported following stress exposure. Control of gene expression by
42
43 117 epigenetic modifications modulates important cellular processes including neurogenesis,
44
45 118 neurotransmission and synaptic plasticity, which are all disrupted in conditions of sustained
46
47 119 stress.^{34,35} Such effects may influence an organism at not only a molecular level but also at
48
49 120 circuitry and systemic levels.
50
51

52 121 Some evidence suggests that epigenetic modifications may be sustained during cell
53
54 122 division, and possibly transmitted across generations.^{32,33} This is important in the context of
55
56

1
2
3 123 chronic stress exposure, as progressive but sustained epigenetic changes may be cumulative
4
5 124 and could even be a result of prior chronic stress exposure in the parent. Several studies based
6
7 125 on periods of deprivation, such as the Swedish Famine,³⁶ Dutch Hunger Winter,³⁷ Montreal
8
9 126 Ice Storm^{38,39} and the Holocaust⁴⁰ provide some support for intergenerational transmission of
10
11 127 traits through epigenetics, through investigating the offspring of parents who were subject to
12
13 128 this trauma. However the strongest evidence for epigenetic transgenerational inheritance
14
15 129 comes from animal studies of paternal transmission, which show that the offspring of
16
17 130 chronically stressed adult male mice are more vulnerable to stressful stimuli, have
18
19 131 dysregulated stress responses and altered HPA axis activity compared to control mice.^{41,42} It
20
21 132 has been hypothesized that these apparently heritable effects may be underpinned by an
22
23 133 epigenetic mechanism transmitted via sperm.⁴³ However, these studies remain highly
24
25 134 controversial in light of currently accepted models that epigenetic modifications are erased
26
27 135 during meiosis.^{44,45} Further, it remains unclear whether transgenerational stress-related
28
29 136 behavioural alterations are truly epigenetic imprinting or a post-conception consequence of
30
31 137 change in the uterine milieu or parental behaviour.

32
33
34 138 Several caveats of epigenetic studies should be mentioned here. Firstly, human and
35
36 139 animal studies investigating stress-induced epigenetic modifications for the most part report
37
38 140 associative or correlational effects, rather than causal effects. They also often do not elucidate
39
40 141 the mechanism by which the epigenetic change is induced nor its functional consequence.
41
42 142 Secondly, while DNA methylation levels for some CpGs are correlated across brain and
43
44 143 blood or saliva and blood,⁴⁶⁻⁴⁸ most are not. However, specific genetic variants or
45
46 144 environments that cause the release of hormones with system-wide effects may have common
47
48 145 effects on DNA methylation across tissues that may only differ in magnitude.^{49,50} There is
49
50 146 also some evidence that overall, DNA methylation derived from buccal cells may be more
51
52 147 closely related to brain, as both tissues are derived from the ectoderm. However, compared to
53
54 148 the low relatedness between brain and whole blood, the improvements are small.⁴⁸

1
2
3 149 Additionally, in complex tissues such as the brain, epigenetic mechanisms also differ
4
5 150 according to brain regions, sub-brain regions and cell types.⁵¹ Thus results from epigenetic
6
7 151 studies in peripheral blood or saliva may have to be interpreted with great care with regards to
8
9 152 their reflection of causal effects on disease risk.

10
11
12 153 Methylation-wide approaches have the advantage of giving a broad picture of the
13
14 154 methylome, but there are some important considerations for each method. While array-based
15
16 155 methods are cost-efficient and technically robust, data pre-processing – including
17
18 156 normalization and batch-correction – can have strong influences on the data, especially if
19
20 157 small changes are expected. Several publications thus advocate that studies using array
21
22 158 technologies should focus on results that survive multiple correction methods.^{52–54} Further,
23
24 159 currently used methylation arrays focus on promoter regions, with lower coverage in
25
26 160 enhancers that appear critical for stress-related impact. Sequencing approaches may therefore
27
28 161 seem optimal, however, these methods are also subject to limitations. Firstly, DNA
29
30 162 methylation does not vary at the majority of CpGs in a given tissue and so untargeted
31
32 163 sequencing-based methods may result in lower sensitivity with less reads per CpG generated,
33
34 164 reducing cost-efficiency. Importantly, a bias can also be observed with bisulfite conversion.⁵⁵
35
36 165 Direct sequencing of unmodified DNA using single molecule real time sequencing methods
37
38 166 might be a superior approach and is being developed by a number of companies. In addition
39
40 167 there is a push for the development of arrays that focus on additional CpGs and other bases
41
42 168 not covered by current array technologies.⁵⁶

43
44
45 169 An important requirement of the field is now to thoroughly catalogue which epigenetic
46
47 170 modifications occur in response to which types of stress (e.g. nature vs. timing vs. length of
48
49 171 stress) in which different cell-types and tissues, and how this characterises risk profiles and
50
51 172 psychopathologies. The development of targeted, highly sensitive DNA methylation detection
52
53 173 methods will propel this effort.

1
2
3 174 **3. Epigenetic mechanisms early in life and lasting effects of early life adversity**
4

5 175

6
7 176 Stress occurring early in development can cause dramatic effects on physiology and

8
9 177 behaviour.⁵⁷ In this context, early epigenetic changes in the brain are important factors.

10
11 178 Changing methylation patterns have been observed in promoter regions of many genes across

12
13 179 healthy brain development, especially in the human dorsolateral prefrontal cortex, a brain

14
15 180 region which is late to mature and highly implicated in neuropsychiatric disorders.⁵⁸

16
17 181 Specifically, a switch from de-methylation to increased methylation of many genes at the

18
19 182 genome level characterise the transition from foetal to childhood time points.⁵⁹ It is likely that

20
21 183 interruption of normal developmental epigenetic patterns by stress or trauma early in life may

22
23 184 alter epigenetic trajectories and lead to the development of psychopathology later in life.

24
25
26 185 A growing number of associations support the link between stress or trauma exposure and

27
28 186 the occurrence of widespread epigenetic changes. For example, common genetic variants in

29
30 187 *DNMT* genes appear to moderate the effects of daily life stressors and perinatal adversity on

31
32 188 paranoid ideation.⁶⁰ DNMTs are enzymes is required for the methylation of neurogenic genes,

33
34 189 also suggesting that exposure to chronic stressors early in life might disturb brain function in

35
36 190 adulthood.⁶¹ Large methylation changes at the beginning of the lifespan, particularly on genes

37
38 191 implicated in developing severe psychiatric conditions (e.g. *DLG4*, *DRD2*, *NOS1*, *NRXN1*,

39
40 192 and *SOX10*) may also indicate vulnerability to the effects of stress and psychiatric disorders

41
42 193 by epigenetic mechanisms.⁵⁹

43
44
45
46 194 *3.2.1 Prenatal adversity*

47
48 195 Even from the first possible window of stress exposure – *in utero* – organisms may be

49
50 196 susceptible to epigenetic programming which persists into adult life (Table 1A).⁶² In

51
52 197 particular, epigenetic differences in key genes that regulate the HPA axis are reported in

53
54 198 people exposed to prenatal adversity.⁶² These effects are hypothesized to last into adulthood

1
2
3 199 by epigenetic re-programming of the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal (HPA) axis.⁵⁷ For
4
5 200 example, women and their children exposed to trauma or chronic stress from the war in the
6
7 201 Democratic Republic of Congo reportedly had differential patterns of methylation on genes
8
9 202 associated with stress; these included hyper-methylation of *CRH* and *NR3CI* in cord blood
10
11 203 and *CRH*, *FKBP5*, *CRHBP* in the placenta.^{63,64} Yehuda and colleagues additionally showed
12
13 204 that *FKBP5*, a gene involved in glucocorticoid receptor and stress sensitivity, was hyper-
14
15 205 methylated in Holocaust survivors, while hypo-methylation of the same CpGs occurred in the
16
17 206 offspring.⁴⁰ An additional cohort examining pregnant mothers exposed to the Quebec
18
19 207 Icestorm of 1998, prenatal stress caused a widespread change to the epigenetic landscape of
20
21 208 offspring, particularly in genes related to immune function.^{38,39}

22
23
24 209 Considering the unequivocal role of the glucocorticoid receptor in stress responsivity,
25
26 210 several studies have focused on epigenetic modifications of *NR3CI* in response to various
27
28 211 types of prenatal stress. For example, in those exposed to intimate partner violence during the
29
30 212 prenatal period, DNA methylation was increased in the *NR3CI* 1F promoter region.⁶⁵
31
32 213 Additionally, in offspring of mothers pregnant during the Holocaust genocide, hyper-
33
34 214 methylation of the *NR3CI* exon 1F promoter methylation was observed much later in life,
35
36 215 specifically in offspring who had one parent suffering from PTSD.⁶⁶ Methylation was
37
38 216 however decreased for offspring with two parents who had PTSD, presenting what could be a
39
40 217 complex mechanism of potential maternal and paternal effects of PTSD on epigenetic
41
42 218 regulation of *NR3CI*, although it is possible that epigenetic changes occurring in offspring
43
44 219 were an effect of living with a parent with PTSD rather than genocide exposure. Together
45
46 220 with evidence from animal studies,⁶⁷ hyper-methylation of the *NR3CI* promoter appears to be
47
48 221 a robust epigenetic modification occurring in response to stress early in life. Altogether, there
49
50 222 appear to be strong and widespread effects of prenatal stress on the epigenetic regulation of
51
52 223 the stress signalling system that lasts into adulthood, although the intergenerational
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

224 mechanisms for some genes (e.g. *FKBP5* and *CRH*) need to be further explored perhaps using
225 animal models.

226 3.2.2 Childhood adversity assessed during childhood and adolescence

227 Considering that certain epigenetic markers occurring during development may set an
228 individual on a path for developing psychopathology later in life, some studies have aimed to
229 examine methylation patterns in the brains of those exposed to chronic stress during
230 childhood (Table 1B). Some of the effects seen with prenatal stress are also seen with stress
231 during childhood. In suicide completers exposed to childhood abuse, hyper-methylated sites
232 were observed in the *NR3C1* variant 1 promoter region at a non-canonical binding site for
233 nerve growth factor-induced protein A. This was further associated with down-regulated
234 expression of the *NR3C1* 1F splice variant in the hippocampus.⁶⁸ While these localized
235 findings for epigenetic regulation of the GR are quite interesting, the effects of childhood
236 adversity is likely epigenome-wide, as methylation profiles in the hippocampus of suicide
237 completers with a history of childhood abuse were reportedly bidirectional and dynamic, with
238 both methylation and de-methylation of numerous genes observed.⁶⁹ Hyper-methylation at
239 promoters of genes that encode ribosomal RNAs were also reported in abused suicide victims
240 compared to controls, possibly indicating widespread effects as ribosomal RNA methylation
241 and transcription directly affect cellular function and synaptic plasticity associated with fear
242 memory and cognitive dysfunction.⁷⁰

243 Additional studies have examined epigenome-wide methylation differences in children
244 exposed to childhood maltreatment or trauma (e.g. physical abuse, sexual abuse, neglect,
245 deprivation, emotional abuse, witnessed domestic violence) compared to non-traumatized
246 individuals.⁷¹⁻⁷³ Weder and colleagues reported that methylation patterns at the genes *ID3*,
247 *GRIN1*, and *TPPP* were genome-wide significant predictors of depression later in life.
248 Maltreated children relative to control children had significantly altered methylation profiles

1
2
3 249 at the *BDNF*, *NR3C1* and *FKBP5* genes, which are all genes that respond to stress exposure
4
5 250 and implicated in psychopathology.⁷² In a sample of English and Romanian adoptees,
6
7 251 individuals chronically exposed to severe deprivation before 43 months of age showed hyper-
8
9 252 methylation across 9 CpGs spanning the promoter of the *CYP2E1* gene at the age of 15 years.
10
11 253 Hyper-methylation of *CYP2E1* was also associated with impaired social cognition, a clinical
12
13 254 marker of deprivation.⁷³ In addition, Houtepen *et al.*⁷¹ reported that methylation of the KIT
14
15 255 ligand gene *KITLG*, which is involved in haematopoiesis and the cortisol response, strongly
16
17 256 mediated the relationship between childhood trauma and cortisol stress responsivity.⁷¹ This
18
19 257 would suggest a link between stress occurring early in life and epigenetic modifications
20
21 258 lasting into adulthood that may increase risk to psychopathology in adulthood by altering the
22
23 259 responsivity of the stress hormone system.

24
25
26 260 Another group of studies suggest epigenetic changes in peripheral tissue at the *SLC6A4*
27
28 261 locus and the consequences of stress in older children.⁷⁴ For example, in a study by Beach and
29
30 262 colleagues,⁷⁵ children 11-13 years old with cumulative exposure to low socio-economic status
31
32 263 (SES) showed hyper-methylation at the *SLC6A4* locus. A similar effect was observed in a
33
34 264 second study, with increased methylation of *SLC6A4* in bullied twins at age 10 compared to
35
36 265 their non-bullied monozygotic twins.⁷⁶

366 3.2.2 Effects of childhood adversity lasting into adulthood

37
38
39
40
41 267 The studies assessing epigenetic modifications that occur in response to prenatal and
42
43 268 childhood adversity strongly support evidence that stress during development leads to
44
45 269 epigenetic modifications that likely influence gene expression later in life,⁷⁷ especially in
46
47 270 genes involved in stress responsivity. During these critical developmental time points,
48
49 271 changes in expression of “stress genes” might alter an individual’s ability to cope with stress
50
51 272 and set the stage for vulnerability to psychopathology later in life. Some groups have assessed
52
53 273 clinical populations of individuals who were exposed to childhood adversity and who
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 274 developed PTSD in adulthood (Table 1C). These investigations collectively support that
4
5 275 childhood adversity is associated with specific epigenetic modifications in those that develop
6
7 276 a psychiatric disorder.
8
9

10 277 Other groups assessing childhood abuse in adults with severe psychiatric disorders have
11
12 278 focused on stress candidate genes. In one report, the severity and number of exposures to
13
14 279 childhood sexual abuse was positively correlated with *NR3C1* hyper-methylation in subjects
15
16 280 with severe psychiatric disorders including PTSD and comorbid major depressive disorder.²⁸
17
18 281 In another cohort of subjects with PTSD exposed to childhood adversity, DNA demethylation
19
20 282 was found decreased in a glucocorticoid response element in *FKBP5*, specifically in the
21
22 283 presence of an *FKBP5* functional polymorphism that increased risk to psychiatric disorder
23
24 284 later in life.⁷⁸ These data mirror the findings from prenatal adversity studies, supporting the
25
26 285 inference that such epigenetic modifications to the stress system occur in response to early
27
28 286 life adversity and may last into adulthood to increase development of a severe psychiatric
29
30 287 condition.
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 288 **4. Stress and trauma during adulthood**

4
5 289 In addition to early life adversity, experiencing extreme levels of stress or trauma during
6
7 290 adulthood can also increase the risk to development of severe psychiatric conditions.
8
9 291 However, the mechanism is likely distinct between these two scenarios. Firstly, early life
10
11 292 experiences occur during a particularly sensitive time, where environmental influences can
12
13 293 have strong effects on the brain and body, causing molecular, structural and behavioural
14
15 294 disturbances that stay with the individual into adulthood. While severe stress or trauma
16
17 295 occurring exclusively in adulthood can also result in detrimental biological consequences,
18
19 296 body systems are already matured thus possibly causing a different cascade of events. In fact,
20
21 297 we could show that patients suffering from PTSD with either only adult trauma or a
22
23 298 combination of child abuse and adult trauma had distinct gene expression and DNA
24
25 299 methylation signatures in peripheral blood.⁷⁹

26
27
28
29 300 *4.1 Epigenetic changes in preclinical stress models*

30
31 301 Adult animals exposed to severe acute or non-traumatic chronic stress have shown
32
33 302 various epigenetic changes attributable to stress exposure. Considering a hallmark of PTSD is
34
35 303 the formation of fear memories, many studies have used fear learning paradigms for exploring
36
37 304 the consequences of trauma exposure.⁸⁰ These studies have shown that fear conditioning
38
39 305 induces the expression of DNA methyl-transferases type 3A and 3B (*Dnmt3A/3B*, leading to
40
41 306 altered DNA methylation at genes that are important for memory formation and plasticity
42
43 307 (e.g. *Reelin*, *Pp1*, *Bdnf*).^{20,81-83} Others have highlighted a role for histone acetylation in long-
44
45 308 term fear recall and spatial memory. For example, environmental enrichment was shown to
46
47 309 improve memory deficits in the brain; this was associated with up-regulation of histone
48
49 310 acetylation and activity of histone deacetylases, which modulate synaptic plasticity via
50
51 311 altering the expression of genes including glutamate receptor subunits and *Bdnf*.⁸⁴⁻⁸⁶ These

1
2
3 312 findings have linked stress to brain plasticity and behaviour, by long-lasting epigenetic
4
5 313 modifications.

6
7
8 314 Clear epigenetic changes have also been observed in animal models of chronic stress.⁸⁷
9
10 315 One of the primary findings from genome-wide epigenetic studies in rodent models is that
11
12 316 chronic stress induces widespread alterations in histone modifications, particularly in the
13
14 317 hippocampus.⁸⁸ For example, chronic variable stress caused alterations to the expression of
15
16 318 transcripts that encode histones or recruit histone writers and erasers.^{89,90} With regards to
17
18 319 methylation, associations between chronic defeat stress and *Dnmt3A* expression was shown in
19
20 320 the nucleus accumbens, which is a brain region that plays a significant role in processing of
21
22 321 aversion, motivation and rewards.⁹¹ Interventions (mainly pharmacological such as
23
24 322 antidepressants), which induce H3K9 di- or tri- methylation, have been shown to promote
25
26 323 resilience to stress and depressive-like behaviour.^{92,93} Chronic stress in adult animals also
27
28 324 exerts epigenetic modifications to key genes involved in HPA-axis signalling,⁹⁴ such as
29
30 325 *Crh*,^{95,96} *Hsp90*⁹⁷ and *Bdnf*.^{98,99}

31
32
33 326 Epigenetic changes in response to severe and chronic stress can clearly be associated with
34
35 327 stress exposure in animal models. While this is more complex in human studies, clinical
36
37 328 studies complement these findings, supporting the existence of lasting epigenetic effects in
38
39 329 response to trauma or prolonged stress within both the central and peripheral nervous
40
41 330 systems. In the following sections, we will discuss examples of epigenetic changes observed
42
43 331 in humans exposed to acute traumatic events or non-traumatic chronic stress in adulthood.

44 45 46 47 332 *4.2 Epigenetic changes due to acute traumatic events in adults*

48
49 333 Studies examining the epigenetic effects of traumatic events in adults have either used
50
51 334 genome-wide methylation approaches or focused on known “stress genes”. Most of these
52
53 335 studies are cross-sectional studies of individuals examined post-trauma, derived from

1
2
3 336 epidemiological cohorts. Additionally, most examine epigenetic profiles in peripheral tissues
4
5 337 such as blood and saliva, which may be useful for biomarker identification (Table 2).
6
7

8 338 Genome-wide methylation studies support the existence of epigenetic differences
9
10 339 between trauma-exposed individuals with PTSD compared to psychiatrically healthy controls,
11
12 340 with cross-sectional differences in DNA methylation have been observed in cohorts from
13
14 341 Atlanta¹⁰⁰ and Detroit.¹⁰¹ These studies have shown epigenetic differences were able to
15
16 342 differentiate those who have developed PTSD compared to those who did not. Later studies
17
18 343 from longitudinal cohorts of US military personnel exposed to combat-trauma further
19
20 344 suggested significant differences in global methylation in PTSD patients relative to
21
22 345 controls,^{102,103} particularly in genes involved in immune^{101,103} and nervous system function.¹⁰⁴
23
24 346 Such longitudinal studies allow the dissection of separate contributions of trauma exposure
25
26 347 vs. disease development which is not readily possible in cross-sectional studies.
27
28

29 348 A larger number of studies have investigated DNA methylation of specific candidate
30
31 349 genes, including genes involved in the regulation of the stress hormone axis. Here studies
32
33 350 have focused on *NR3C1* (exon 1F) and *FKBP5*. In one study from saliva, *NR3C1* methylation
34
35 351 at CpG site 42 was increased in men with PTSD,¹⁰⁵ however in another study from blood,
36
37 352 methylation at CpG site 28 was reduced in PTSD.²⁹ The differences may represent tissue- or
38
39 353 CpG site- specific differences, variability in the trauma type or its timing, or sex and age.¹⁰⁶ In
40
41 354 an earlier study, veterans exposed to severe war trauma underwent prolonged exposure
42
43 355 therapy and were assessed pre- and post- exposure treatment.¹⁰⁷ The study showed that
44
45 356 methylation of *NR3C1* exon 1F locus pre-treatment predicted treatment outcome, but
46
47 357 methylation was not significantly different in responders or non-responders to the exposure
48
49 358 therapy at post-treatment or follow-up.¹⁰⁷ Methylation of the *FKBP5* exon 1 promoter also did
50
51 359 not predict treatment response, but was decreased in association with recovery.¹⁰⁷ This study
52
53 360 provides preliminary evidence that these methylation markers may underlie the severity of
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 361 PTSD symptoms, and that reversal of the methylation changes may tract with effective
4
5 362 therapeutic intervention.

6
7
8 363 The immune system is one of the main effectors of HPA axis activity, and in turn,
9
10 364 changes in methylation are associated with total life stress, PTSD diagnosis and a history of
11
12 365 childhood abuse.¹⁰⁰ Epigenetic effects to genes involved in immune function are a consistent
13
14 366 finding in PTSD, supporting that sustained sympathetic nervous system activity in response to
15
16 367 trauma stimulates immune system activation. For example, DNA methylation of genes
17
18 368 associated with immune function were found to be largely negatively correlated with
19
20 369 traumatic burden in PTSD.¹⁰¹ Others consistently reported altered methylation of pro-
21
22 370 inflammatory cytokines, such as interleukin 12 and 18, in individuals with PTSD compared to
23
24 371 those without.^{100,103,108} Analysis of histone and DNA methylation markers suggest that
25
26 372 widespread epigenetic changes in PTSD, including those in immune genes, might occur by
27
28 373 alterations to DNMT1¹⁰⁸ or miRNAs,¹⁰⁹ which are molecules that induce and maintain global
29
30 374 methylation patterns.

31
32
33 375 In addition to identification of epigenetic markers associated with diagnostic outcomes,
34
35 376 some studies have begun to additionally assess associations of DNA methylation to
36
37 377 endophenotypes of psychiatric disease, including neuroimaging phenotypes. For example, a
38
39 378 genome-wide significant variant, rs717947 (positioned in an inter-genic locus at chromosome
40
41 379 4p15) was identified as a methylation quantitative trait locus associated with altered cortical
42
43 380 activation in response to fearful faces.¹¹⁰ Another study showed associations between the
44
45 381 methylation status a polymorphic site in the 3'UTR of the *SKA2* gene - involved in mitosis-
46
47 382 with reduced thickness of several cortical areas and symptom severity in PTSD.¹¹¹ Lastly,
48
49 383 methylation in peripheral blood samples of *ADCYAP1R1*, a gene involved in regulating the
50
51 384 cellular stress response, was associated with PTSD diagnosis and symptom severity,

1
2
3 385 specifically in females.¹¹² Collectively, these studies support that epigenetic changes in
4
5 386 response to stress may “mediate” particular stress phenotypes.
6
7

8 387 Several studies have now investigated DNA methylation in the context of GxE including
9
10 388 candidate genes involved in neurotransmitter turn-over such as *SLC6A4* (encoding the
11
12 389 serotonin transporter SERT), *SLC6A3* (encoding the dopamine transporter), and *COMT*
13
14 390 (encoding enzymes that degrade neurotransmitters such as dopamine). In one study, reduced
15
16 391 *SLC6A4* methylation levels were associated with more traumatic events and increased risk for
17
18 392 PTSD, only in those carrying a specific *SLC6A4* risk allele genotype, while higher
19
20 393 methylation appeared protective against the development of PTSD.¹¹³ In an independent
21
22 394 cohort, individuals with PTSD carrying the *SLC6A3* 9-repeat allele were at higher risk to
23
24 395 PTSD when also having higher methylation in the *SLC6A3* promoter locus.¹¹⁴ Lastly,
25
26 396 increased *COMT* promoter methylation was associated with impaired fear inhibition in
27
28 397 individuals with PTSD carrying the *COMT* met/met genotype; this genotype has been
29
30 398 extensively associated with a range of psychiatric conditions and phenotypes.¹¹⁵ These studies
31
32 399 suggest that epigenetic changes following trauma often only occur in the context of specific
33
34 400 genetic variation and that genetic risk may only manifest with concomitant epigenetic
35
36 401 changes.
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 402 4.3 Epigenetic changes due to sustained non-traumatic stress in adults
4

5 403 It is well established that chronic stress results in adverse health outcomes, but only a
6
7 404 handful of studies exist that explore the association of non-traumatic chronic stress (e.g. work
8
9 405 and lifestyle-related stresses) with epigenetic changes (Table 3). To our knowledge there are
10
11 406 no genome-wide analyses examining differences in epigenetic marks in populations exposed
12
13 407 to non-traumatic chronic stress. One study used *LINE-1* as a proxy for global methylation, but
14
15 408 no differences in *LINE-1* methylation were found in subjects exposed to recent life stressors
16
17 409 compared to controls.¹¹⁶ A few other studies have examined epigenetic changes to candidate
18
19 410 genes within populations of individuals exposed to occupational chronic stress.

20
21
22 411 For example, in workers employed in the manufacturing industry in environments of high
23
24 412 or low stress, Myaki and colleagues examined DNA methylation of the tyrosine hydroxylase
25
26 413 (*TH*) gene.¹¹⁷ *TH* encodes an enzyme involved in synthesis of catecholamines including
27
28 414 serotonin, dopamine, epinephrine and norepinephrine, which are all altered in rodent models
29
30 415 of chronic stress.^{118,119} Methylation of CpG sites in the *TH* gene and the flanking 5' region
31
32 416 was significantly higher in individuals exposed to high occupational stress compared to
33
34 417 individuals working in low stress environments.¹¹⁷ The same group also explored altered
35
36 418 methylation in the *BDNF* gene based on *a priori* hypothesis that this gene is also
37
38 419 epigenetically affected in a preclinical model of PTSD.⁹⁸ Slightly increased methylation was
39
40 420 observed across the whole gene in subjects exposed to high-stress compared to low-stress
41
42 421 environments, although the groups showed no difference in promoter-specific methylation.¹²⁰
43
44 422 Work-related stress in this study was assessed using a self-administered questionnaire, with
45
46 423 methylation levels of groups from the lowest and highest job-strain quartiles compared.¹²⁰
47
48

49
50 424 Another group focused on methylation of the *SLC6A4* gene due to some evidence that
51
52 425 methylation differences in this gene occur in stress-induced psychopathologies such as PTSD
53
54 426 (discussed in section 4.2) and depression.¹²¹ In shift-working nurses in high-stress work

1
2
3 427 environments compared to those in low-stress work environments, five CpG sites in the
4
5 428 promoter region of *SLC6A4* were examined.³⁰ Higher methylation across the tested *SLC6A4*
6
7 429 CpGs was found in those working in a high compared to low stress environment, and this was
8
9 430 associated with burnout and the perception of stress.³⁰ Further investigation into the effects of
10
11 431 non-traumatic chronic stressors, such as work related stress or caregiving, is limited. This is
12
13 432 likely as the length and severity of non-traumatic stress is often not as well defined as
14
15 433 traumatic stress, and many confounding factors make the results of these studies less clear.
16
17 434 These studies might also be confounded by the number of stressors, which might have an
18
19 435 additive effect, and further studies are needed to disentangle this.
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 436 **7. Future directions and conclusions**
4

5 437 Studies examining epigenetic changes in response to stress are providing a potential
6
7 438 opportunity to identify vulnerable and resilient populations (e.g. based on combined prior
8
9 439 exposure, other environmental factors and genetics) and biomarkers of risk for those exposed
10
11 440 to chronic stress. However, additional studies of how different types and lengths of stress lead
12
13 441 to epigenetic changes are required as well as of combined effects of positive and negative
14
15 442 environments. The field of epigenetics is in fact relatively young, and studies examining
16
17 443 epigenetic modifications in conditions of chronic stress are limited. One example is that, to
18
19 444 the best of our knowledge, no studies have examined epigenetic modifications in postmortem
20
21 445 brain samples derived from individuals with PTSD. Additionally, human studies are also
22
23 446 limited in that there are few longitudinal studies with repetitive measurements from the same
24
25 447 individual at different time points relative to the stress exposure, and currently, many studies
26
27 448 published are cross-sectional and underpowered. Changes to gene expression by epigenetic
28
29 449 modifications are prominent gene and environment interactions that are an important aspect
30
31 450 of future chronic stress and trauma research. Particularly, epigenetic patterns in peripheral
32
33 451 tissues may act as biomarkers that may serve as sentinels of when genetic predisposition
34
35 452 followed by environmental exposure leads to trajectories of risk to develop psychiatric
36
37 453 symptoms and syndromes. For mechanistic understanding, tissue specificity of these
38
39 454 epigenetic changes must be addressed and understood. Overall, more research in this area will
40
41 455 lead to a better understanding of stress-induced epigenetic effects and mechanisms as well as
42
43 456 their potential moderation, allowing for their therapeutic exploitation and the development of
44
45 457 new interventions and treatments.
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

458 **Funding:** This work was supported by an ERC starting grant (under the FP7 frame work,
459 #281338) to EBB, and an NHMRC grant (ECF #1105445) held by NM. The work was also
460 supported by an IBRO Fellowship to NM, and Alexander von Humboldt Fellowships to NM
461 and CC.

462 **Declaration of Conflicting Interests:** the authors declare no conflicts of interest.

For Peer Review

References

1. Cohen S, Frank E, Doyle WJ, et al. Types of stressors that increase susceptibility to the common cold in healthy adults. *Health Psychol* 1998; 17: 214–223.
2. Sandberg S, Jarvenpaa S, Penttinen A, et al. Asthma exacerbations in children immediately following stressful life events: a Cox's hierarchical regression. *Thorax* 2004; 59: 1046–1051.
3. Herman JL. Complex PTSD: A syndrome in survivors of prolonged and repeated trauma. *J Trauma Stress* 1992; 5: 377–391.
4. Miller GE, Chen E, Zhou ES. If it goes up, must it come down? Chronic stress and the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenocortical axis in humans. *Psychol Bull* 2007; 133: 25–45.
5. Cohen S, Janicki-Deverts D, Miller GE. Psychological stress and disease. *JAMA* 2007; 298: 1685–1687.
6. Kendler KS, Karkowski LM, Prescott CA. Causal relationship between stressful life events and the onset of major depression. *Am J Psychiatry* 1999; 156: 837–841.
7. van Winkel R, van Nierop M, Myin-Germeys I, et al. Childhood Trauma as a Cause of Psychosis: Linking Genes, Psychology, and Biology. *Can J Psychiatry-Rev Can Psychiatr* 2013; 58: 44–51.
8. Cohen BE, Edmondson D, Kronish IM. State of the Art Review: Depression, Stress, Anxiety, and Cardiovascular Disease. *Am J Hypertens* 2015; 28: 1295–1302.
9. Brady KT, Sinha R. Co-occurring mental and substance use disorders: the neurobiological effects of chronic stress. *Am J Psychiatry* 2005; 162: 1483–1493.
10. Sinha R, Jastreboff AM. Stress as a Common Risk Factor for Obesity and Addiction. *Biol Psychiatry* 2013; 73: 827–835.
11. Heim CM, Mayberg HS, Mletzko T, et al. Decreased cortical representation of genital somatosensory field after childhood sexual abuse. *Am J Psychiatry* 2013; 170: 616–623.
12. Binder EB. The importance of understanding the biological mechanisms of trauma. *Acta Psychiatr Scand* 2016; 134: 279–280.
13. Teicher MH, Samson JA. Annual Research Review: Enduring neurobiological effects of childhood abuse and neglect. *J Child Psychol Psychiatry* 2016; 57: 241–266.
14. Galea S, Nandi A, Vlahov D. The epidemiology of post-traumatic stress disorder after disasters. *Epidemiol Rev* 2005; 27: 78–91.
15. Belleville G, Marchand A, St-Hilaire M-H, et al. PTSD and depression following armed robbery: Patterns of appearance and impact on absenteeism and use of health care services. *J Trauma Stress* 2012; 25: 465–468.

16. Nillni YI, Nosen E, Williams PA, et al. Unique and related predictors of major depressive disorder, posttraumatic stress disorder, and their comorbidity after Hurricane Katrina. *J Nerv Ment Dis* 2013; 201: 841–847.
17. Fraga MF, Ballestar E, Paz MF, et al. Epigenetic differences arise during the lifetime of monozygotic twins. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2005; 102: 10604–10609.
18. Holliday R. Epigenetics: a historical overview. *Epigenetics* 2006; 1: 76–80.
19. Guo JU, Ma DK, Mo H, et al. Neuronal activity modifies the DNA methylation landscape in the adult brain. *Nat Neurosci* 2011; 14: 1345–1351.
20. Miller CA, Sweatt JD. Covalent modification of DNA regulates memory formation. *Neuron* 2007; 53: 857–869.
21. Bergman Y, Cedar H. DNA methylation dynamics in health and disease. *Nat Struct Mol Biol* 2013; 20: 274–281.
22. Maunakea AK, Nagarajan RP, Bilenky M, et al. Conserved Role of Intragenic DNA Methylation in Regulating Alternative Promoters. *Nature* 2010; 466: 253–257.
23. Jones PA. Functions of DNA methylation: islands, start sites, gene bodies and beyond. *Nat Rev Genet* 2012; 13: 484–492.
24. Bannister AJ, Kouzarides T. Regulation of chromatin by histone modifications. *Cell Res* 2011; 21: 381–395.
25. Mattick JS, Makunin IV. Non-coding RNA. *Hum Mol Genet* 2006; 15: R17–R29.
26. Mueller BR, Bale TL. Sex-specific programming of offspring emotionality after stress early in pregnancy. *J Neurosci Off J Soc Neurosci* 2008; 28: 9055–9065.
27. Weaver ICG, Cervoni N, Champagne FA, et al. Epigenetic programming by maternal behavior. *Nat Neurosci* 2004; 7: 847–854.
28. Perroud N, Paoloni-Giacobino A, Prada P, et al. Increased methylation of glucocorticoid receptor gene (NR3C1) in adults with a history of childhood maltreatment: a link with the severity and type of trauma. *Transl Psychiatry* 2011; 1: e59.
29. Yehuda R, Flory JD, Bierer LM, et al. Lower Methylation of Glucocorticoid Receptor Gene Promoter 1F in Peripheral Blood of Veterans with Posttraumatic Stress Disorder. *Biol Psychiatry* 2015; 77: 356–364.
30. Alasaari JS, Lagus M, Ollila HM, et al. Environmental Stress Affects DNA Methylation of a CpG Rich Promoter Region of Serotonin Transporter Gene in a Nurse Cohort. *PLOS ONE* 2012; 7: e45813.
31. van der Knaap LJ, Riese H, Hudziak JJ, et al. Glucocorticoid receptor gene (NR3C1) methylation following stressful events between birth and adolescence. The TRAILS study. *Transl Psychiatry* 2014; 4: e381.

- 1
- 2
- 3 32. Meaney MJ, Szyf M. Environmental programming of stress responses through DNA
- 4 methylation: life at the interface between a dynamic environment and a fixed genome.
- 5 *Dialogues Clin Neurosci* 2005; 7: 103–123.
- 6
- 7 33. Probst AV, Dunleavy E, Almouzni G. Epigenetic inheritance during the cell cycle. *Nat*
- 8 *Rev Mol Cell Biol* 2009; 10: 192–206.
- 9
- 10 34. Joëls M, Karst H, Krugers HJ, et al. Chronic stress: implications for neuronal
- 11 morphology, function and neurogenesis. *Front Neuroendocrinol* 2007; 28: 72–96.
- 12
- 13 35. Mirescu C, Gould E. Stress and adult neurogenesis. *Hippocampus* 2006; 16: 233–238.
- 14
- 15 36. Class QA, Abel KM, Khashan AS, et al. Offspring psychopathology following
- 16 preconception, prenatal and postnatal maternal bereavement stress. *Psychol Med* 2014;
- 17 44: 71–84.
- 18
- 19 37. Heijmans BT, Tobi EW, Stein AD, et al. Persistent epigenetic differences associated
- 20 with prenatal exposure to famine in humans. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2008; 105:
- 21 17046–17049.
- 22
- 23 38. Cao-Lei L, Massart R, Suderman MJ, et al. DNA Methylation Signatures Triggered by
- 24 Prenatal Maternal Stress Exposure to a Natural Disaster: Project Ice Storm. *PLOS*
- 25 *ONE* 2014; 9: e107653.
- 26
- 27 39. Cao-Lei L, Elgbeili G, Massart R, et al. Pregnant women's cognitive appraisal of a
- 28 natural disaster affects DNA methylation in their children 13 years later: Project Ice
- 29 Storm. *Transl Psychiatry* 2015; 5: e515.
- 30
- 31 40. Yehuda R, Daskalakis NP, Bierer LM, et al. Holocaust Exposure Induced
- 32 Intergenerational Effects on FKBP5 Methylation. *Biol Psychiatry*; in press. Epub
- 33 ahead of print 2015. DOI: 10.1016/j.biopsych.2015.08.005.
- 34
- 35 41. Dietz DM, Laplant Q, Watts EL, et al. Paternal transmission of stress-induced
- 36 pathologies. *Biol Psychiatry* 2011; 70: 408–414.
- 37
- 38 42. Rodgers AB, Morgan CP, Bronson SL, et al. Paternal Stress Exposure Alters Sperm
- 39 MicroRNA Content and Reprograms Offspring HPA Stress Axis Regulation. *J*
- 40 *Neurosci* 2013; 33: 9003–9012.
- 41
- 42 43. Franklin TB, Russig H, Weiss IC, et al. Epigenetic Transmission of the Impact of Early
- 43 Stress Across Generations. *Biol Psychiatry* 2010; 68: 408–415.
- 44
- 45 44. Cantone I, Fisher AG. Epigenetic programming and reprogramming during
- 46 development. *Nat Struct Mol Biol* 2013; 20: 282–289.
- 47
- 48 45. von Meyenn F, Reik W. Forget the Parents: Epigenetic Reprogramming in Human
- 49 Germ Cells. *Cell* 2015; 161: 1248–1251.
- 50
- 51 46. Hannon E, Lunnon K, Schalkwyk L, et al. Interindividual methylomic variation across
- 52 blood, cortex, and cerebellum: implications for epigenetic studies of neurological and
- 53 neuropsychiatric phenotypes. *Epigenetics* 2015; 10: 1024–1032.
- 54
- 55
- 56
- 57
- 58
- 59
- 60

- 1
- 2
- 3 47. Farré P, Jones MJ, Meaney MJ, et al. Concordant and discordant DNA methylation
- 4 signatures of aging in human blood and brain. *Epigenetics Chromatin* 2015; 8: 19.
- 5
- 6 48. Smith AK, Kilaru V, Klengel T, et al. DNA extracted from saliva for methylation
- 7 studies of psychiatric traits: evidence tissue specificity and relatedness to brain. *Am J*
- 8 *Med Genet B Neuropsychiatr Genet* 2015; 168: 36–44.
- 9
- 10 49. Klengel T, Pape J, Binder EB, et al. The role of DNA methylation in stress-related
- 11 psychiatric disorders. *Neuropharmacology* 2014; 80: 115–132.
- 12
- 13 50. Klengel T, Binder EB. Epigenetics of stress-related psychiatric disorders and
- 14 gene-environment interactions. *Neuron* 2015; 86: 1343–1357.
- 15
- 16 51. Davies MN, Volta M, Pidsley R, et al. Functional annotation of the human brain
- 17 methylome identifies tissue-specific epigenetic variation across brain and blood.
- 18 *Genome Biol* 2012; 13: R43.
- 19
- 20 52. Sun Z, Chai HS, Wu Y, et al. Batch effect correction for genome-wide methylation data
- 21 with Illumina Infinium platform. *BMC Med Genomics* 2011; 4: 84.
- 22
- 23 53. Pidsley R, Y Wong CC, Volta M, et al. A data-driven approach to preprocessing
- 24 Illumina 450K methylation array data. *BMC Genomics* 2013; 14: 293.
- 25
- 26 54. Cazaly E, Thomson R, Marthick JR, et al. Comparison of pre-processing methodologies
- 27 for Illumina 450k methylation array data in familial analyses. *Clin Epigenetics* 2016;
- 28 8: 75.
- 29
- 30 55. Fuso A, Ferraguti G, Scarpa S, et al. Disclosing Bias in Bisulfite Assay: MethPrimers
- 31 Underestimate High DNA Methylation. *PLOS ONE* 2015; 10: e0118318.
- 32
- 33 56. Kurdyukov S, Bullock M. DNA Methylation Analysis: Choosing the Right Method.
- 34 *Biology*; 5. Epub ahead of print 6 January 2016. DOI: 10.3390/biology5010003.
- 35
- 36 57. Provençal N, Binder EB. The effects of early life stress on the epigenome: From the
- 37 womb to adulthood and even before. *Exp Neurol* 2015; 268: 10–20.
- 38
- 39 58. Bystron I, Blakemore C, Rakic P. Development of the human cerebral cortex: Boulder
- 40 Committee revisited. *Nat Rev Neurosci* 2008; 9: 110–122.
- 41
- 42 59. Numata S, Ye T, Hyde TM, et al. DNA Methylation Signatures in Development and
- 43 Aging of the Human Prefrontal Cortex. *Am J Hum Genet* 2012; 90: 260–272.
- 44
- 45 60. Pishva E, Drukker M, Viechtbauer W, et al. Epigenetic Genes and Emotional Reactivity
- 46 to Daily Life Events: A Multi-Step Gene-Environment Interaction Study. *PLOS ONE*
- 47 2014; 9: e100935.
- 48
- 49 61. Wu H, Coskun V, Tao J, et al. Dnmt3a-Dependent Nonpromoter DNA Methylation
- 50 Facilitates Transcription of Neurogenic Genes. *Science* 2010; 329: 444–448.
- 51
- 52 62. Monk C, Spicer J, Champagne FA. Linking prenatal maternal adversity to
- 53 developmental outcomes in infants: The role of epigenetic pathways. *Dev*
- 54 *Psychopathol* 2012; 24: 1361–1376.
- 55

- 1
2
3 63. Kertes DA, Kamin HS, Hughes DA, et al. Prenatal maternal stress predicts methylation
4 of genes regulating the hypothalamic–pituitary–adrenocortical system in mothers and
5 newborns in the Democratic Republic of Congo. *Child Dev* 2016; 87: 61–72.
6
7 64. Mulligan C, D’Errico N, Stees J, et al. Methylation changes at NR3C1 in newborns
8 associate with maternal prenatal stress exposure and newborn birth weight. *Epigenetics*
9 2012; 7: 853–857.
10
11 65. Radtke KM, Ruf M, Gunter HM, et al. Transgenerational impact of intimate partner
12 violence on methylation in the promoter of the glucocorticoid receptor. *Transl*
13 *Psychiatry* 2011; 1: e21.
14
15 66. Yehuda R, Daskalakis NP, Lehrner A, et al. Influences of maternal and paternal PTSD
16 on epigenetic regulation of the glucocorticoid receptor gene in Holocaust survivor
17 offspring. *Am J Psychiatry* 2014; 171: 872–880.
18
19 67. Turecki G, Meaney MJ. Effects of the Social Environment and Stress on Glucocorticoid
20 Receptor Gene Methylation: A Systematic Review. *Biol Psychiatry* 2016; 79: 87–96.
21
22 68. McGowan PO, Sasaki A, D’Alessio AC, et al. Epigenetic regulation of the
23 glucocorticoid receptor in human brain associates with childhood abuse. *Nat Neurosci*
24 2009; 12: 342–348.
25
26 69. Labonté B, Suderman M, Maussion G, et al. Genome-wide epigenetic regulation by
27 early-life trauma. *Arch Gen Psychiatry* 2012; 69: 722–731.
28
29 70. McGowan PO, Sasaki A, Huang TCT, et al. Promoter-Wide Hypermethylation of the
30 Ribosomal RNA Gene Promoter in the Suicide Brain. *PLoS ONE*; 3. Epub ahead of
31 print 7 May 2008. DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0002085.
32
33 71. Houtepen LC, Vinkers CH, Carrillo-Roa T, et al. Genome-wide DNA methylation
34 levels and altered cortisol stress reactivity following childhood trauma in humans. *Nat*
35 *Commun* 2016; 7: 10967.
36
37 72. Weder N, Zhang H, Jensen K, et al. Child Abuse, Depression, and Methylation in Genes
38 Involved With Stress, Neural Plasticity, and Brain Circuitry. *J Am Acad Child Adolesc*
39 *Psychiatry* 2014; 53: 417–424.e5.
40
41 73. Kumsta R, Marzi SJ, Viana J, et al. Severe psychosocial deprivation in early childhood
42 is associated with increased DNA methylation across a region spanning the
43 transcription start site of CYP2E1. *Transl Psychiatry* 2016; 6: e830.
44
45 74. Wankerl M, Miller R, Kirschbaum C, et al. Effects of genetic and early environmental
46 risk factors for depression on serotonin transporter expression and methylation
47 profiles. *Transl Psychiatry* 2014; 4: e402.
48
49 75. Beach SRH, Brody GH, Lei MK, et al. Is serotonin transporter genotype associated with
50 epigenetic susceptibility or vulnerability? Examination of the impact of socioeconomic
51 status risk on African American youth. *Dev Psychopathol* 2014; 26: 289–304.
52
53 76. Ouellet-Morin I, Wong CCY, Danese A, et al. Increased serotonin transporter gene
54 (SERT) DNA methylation is associated with bullying victimization and blunted
55

- 1
2
3 cortisol response to stress in childhood: a longitudinal study of discordant
4 monozygotic twins. *Psychol Med* 2013; 43: 1813.
5
6 77. Schwaiger M, Grinberg M, Moser D, et al. Altered Stress-Induced Regulation of Genes
7 in Monocytes in Adults with a History of Childhood Adversity.
8 *Neuropsychopharmacol Off Publ Am Coll Neuropsychopharmacol* 2016; 41: 2530–
9 2540.
10
11 78. Klengel T, Mehta D, Anacker C, et al. Allele-specific FKBP5 DNA demethylation
12 mediates gene-childhood trauma interactions. *Nat Neurosci* 2013; 16: 33–41.
13
14 79. Mehta D, Klengel T, Conneely KN, et al. Childhood maltreatment is associated with
15 distinct genomic and epigenetic profiles in posttraumatic stress disorder. *Proc Natl*
16 *Acad Sci* 2013; 110: 8302–8307.
17
18 80. Maddox SA, Schafe GE, Ressler KJ. Exploring epigenetic regulation of fear memory
19 and biomarkers associated with post-traumatic stress disorder. *Epigenetic Pathw PTSD*
20 *Trauma Exp Leave Their Signat Genome* 2015; 97.
21
22 81. Feng J, Zhou Y, Campbell SL, et al. Dnmt1 and Dnmt3a maintain DNA methylation
23 and regulate synaptic function in adult forebrain neurons. *Nat Neurosci* 2010; 13: 423–
24 430.
25
26 82. Lubin FD, Roth TL, Sweatt JD. Epigenetic regulation of BDNF gene transcription in the
27 consolidation of fear memory. *J Neurosci Off J Soc Neurosci* 2008; 28: 10576–10586.
28
29 83. Monsey MS, Ota KT, Akingbade IF, et al. Epigenetic alterations are critical for fear
30 memory consolidation and synaptic plasticity in the lateral amygdala. *PLoS One* 2011;
31 6: e19958.
32
33 84. Bredy TW, Wu H, Crego C, et al. Histone modifications around individual BDNF gene
34 promoters in prefrontal cortex are associated with extinction of conditioned fear. *Learn*
35 *Mem* 2007; 14: 268–276.
36
37 85. Fischer A, Sananbenesi F, Wang X, et al. Recovery of learning and memory is
38 associated with chromatin remodelling. *Nature* 2007; 447: 178–182.
39
40 86. Guan J-S, Haggarty SJ, Giacometti E, et al. HDAC2 negatively regulates memory
41 formation and synaptic plasticity. *Nature* 2009; 459: 55–60.
42
43 87. Nestler EJ, Hyman SE. Animal models of neuropsychiatric disorders. *Nat Neurosci*
44 2010; 13: 1161–1169.
45
46 88. Ferland CL, Schrader LA. Regulation of histone acetylation in the hippocampus of
47 chronically stressed rats: a potential role of sirtuins. *Neuroscience* 2011; 174: 104–114.
48
49 89. Kumar PP, Purbey PK, Sinha CK, et al. Phosphorylation of SATB1, a Global Gene
50 Regulator, Acts as a Molecular Switch Regulating Its Transcriptional Activity In Vivo.
51 *Mol Cell* 2006; 22: 231–243.
52
53 90. Ueda T, Postnikov YV, Bustin M. Distinct Domains in High Mobility Group N Variants
54 Modulate Specific Chromatin Modifications. *J Biol Chem* 2006; 281: 10182–10187.
55

- 1
2
3 91. LaPlant Q, Vialou V, Covington HE, et al. Dnmt3a regulates emotional behavior and
4 spine plasticity in the nucleus accumbens. *Nat Neurosci* 2010; 13: 1137–1143.
- 5
6 92. Covington HE, Maze I, Sun H, et al. A role for repressive histone methylation in
7 cocaine-induced vulnerability to stress. *Neuron* 2011; 71: 656–670.
- 8
9 93. Hunter RG, McCarthy KJ, Milne TA, et al. Regulation of hippocampal H3 histone
10 methylation by acute and chronic stress. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* 2009; 106: 20912–20917.
- 11
12 94. Stankiewicz AM, Swiergiel AH, Lisowski P. Epigenetics of stress adaptations in the
13 brain. *Brain Res Bull* 2013; 98: 76–92.
- 14
15 95. Elliott E, Ezra-Nevo G, Regev L, et al. Resilience to social stress coincides with
16 functional DNA methylation of the Crf gene in adult mice. *Nat Neurosci* 2010; 13:
17 1351–1353.
- 18
19 96. Sterrenburg L, Gaszner B, Boerrigter J, et al. Sex-dependent and differential responses
20 to acute restraint stress of corticotropin-releasing factor–producing neurons in the rat
21 paraventricular nucleus, central amygdala, and bed nucleus of the stria terminalis. *J*
22 *Neurosci Res* 2012; 90: 179–192.
- 23
24 97. Jochems J, Teegarden SL, Chen Y, et al. Enhancement of Stress Resilience Through
25 Histone Deacetylase 6–Mediated Regulation of Glucocorticoid Receptor Chaperone
26 Dynamics. *Biol Psychiatry* 2015; 77: 345–355.
- 27
28 98. Roth TL, Zoladz PR, Sweatt JD, et al. Epigenetic modification of hippocampal Bdnf
29 DNA in adult rats in an animal model of post-traumatic stress disorder. *J Psychiatr Res*
30 2011; 45: 919–926.
- 31
32 99. Tsankova NM, Berton O, Renthal W, et al. Sustained hippocampal chromatin regulation
33 in a mouse model of depression and antidepressant action. *Nat Neurosci* 2006; 9: 519–
34 525.
- 35
36 100. Smith AK, Conneely KN, Kilaru V, et al. Differential immune system DNA
37 methylation and cytokine regulation in post-traumatic stress disorder. *Am J Med Genet*
38 *B Neuropsychiatr Genet* 2011; 156: 700–708.
- 39
40 101. Uddin M, Aiello AE, Wildman DE, et al. Epigenetic and immune function profiles
41 associated with posttraumatic stress disorder. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* 2010; 107: 9470–
42 9475.
- 43
44 102. Rusiecki JA, Chen L, Srikantan V, et al. DNA methylation in repetitive elements and
45 post-traumatic stress disorder: a case-control study of US military service members.
46 *Epigenomics* 2012; 4: 29–40.
- 47
48 103. Rusiecki JA, Byrne C, Galdzicki Z, et al. PTSD and DNA Methylation in Select
49 Immune Function Gene Promoter Regions: A Repeated Measures Case-Control Study
50 of U.S. Military Service Members. *Front Psychiatry*; 4. Epub ahead of print 24 June
51 2013. DOI: 10.3389/fpsy.2013.00056.
- 52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3 104. Uddin M, Galea S, Chang SC, et al. Epigenetic signatures may explain the relationship
4 between socioeconomic position and risk of mental illness: preliminary findings from
5 an urban community-based sample. *Biodemography Soc Biol* 2013; 59: 68–84.
6
7 105. Vukojevic V, Kolassa I-T, Fastenrath M, et al. Epigenetic modification of the
8 glucocorticoid receptor gene is linked to traumatic memory and post-traumatic stress
9 disorder risk in genocide survivors. *J Neurosci* 2014; 34: 10274–10284.
10
11 106. Daskalakis NP, Yehuda R. Site-specific methylation changes in the glucocorticoid
12 receptor exon 1F promoter in relation to life adversity: systematic review of
13 contributing factors. *Front Neurosci*; 8. Epub ahead of print 21 November 2014. DOI:
14 10.3389/fnins.2014.00369.
15
16 107. Yehuda R, Daskalakis NP, Desarnaud F, et al. Epigenetic Biomarkers as Predictors and
17 Correlates of Symptom Improvement Following Psychotherapy in Combat Veterans
18 with PTSD. *Front Psychiatry*; 4. Epub ahead of print 2013. DOI:
19 10.3389/fpsyt.2013.00118.
20
21 108. Bam M, Yang X, Zhou J, et al. Evidence for Epigenetic Regulation of Pro-Inflammatory
22 Cytokines, Interleukin-12 and Interferon Gamma, in Peripheral Blood Mononuclear
23 Cells from PTSD Patients. *J Neuroimmune Pharmacol* 2016; 11: 168–181.
24
25 109. Bam M, Yang X, Zumbun EE, et al. Dysregulated immune system networks in war
26 veterans with PTSD is an outcome of altered miRNA expression and DNA
27 methylation. *Sci Rep*; 6. Epub ahead of print 11 August 2016. DOI:
28 10.1038/srep31209.
29
30 110. Almli LM, Stevens JS, Smith AK, et al. A genome-wide identified risk variant for
31 PTSD is a methylation quantitative trait locus and confers decreased cortical activation
32 to fearful faces. *Am J Med Genet B Neuropsychiatr Genet* 2015; 168: 327–336.
33
34 111. Sadeh N, Spielberg JM, Logue MW, et al. SKA2 methylation is associated with
35 decreased prefrontal cortical thickness and greater PTSD severity among trauma-
36 exposed veterans. *Mol Psychiatry* 2016; 21: 357–363.
37
38 112. Ressler KJ, Mercer KB, Bradley B, et al. Post-traumatic stress disorder is associated
39 with PACAP and the PAC1 receptor. *Nature* 2011; 470: 492–497.
40
41 113. Koenen KC, Uddin M, Chang S-C, et al. SLC6A4 methylation modifies the effect of the
42 number of traumatic events on risk for posttraumatic stress disorder. *Depress Anxiety*
43 2011; 28: 639–647.
44
45 114. Chang S-C, Koenen KC, Galea S, et al. Molecular variation at the SLC6A3 locus
46 predicts lifetime risk of PTSD in the Detroit Neighborhood Health Study. *PloS One*
47 2012; 7: e39184.
48
49 115. Meyer-Lindenberg A, Weinberger DR. Intermediate phenotypes and genetic
50 mechanisms of psychiatric disorders. *Nat Rev Neurosci* 2006; 7: 818–827.
51
52 116. Duman EA, Canli T. Influence of life stress, 5-HTTLPR genotype, and SLC6A4
53 methylation on gene expression and stress response in healthy Caucasian males. *Biol*
54 *Mood Anxiety Disord* 2015; 5: 2.
55

- 1
2
3 117. Myaki K, Suzuki T, Song Y, et al. Epigenetic changes caused by occupational stress in
4 humans revealed through noninvasive assessment of DNA methylation of the tyrosine
5 hydroxylase gene. *J Neurol Neurol Disord*;
6 [2https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Akihito_Shimazu/publication/283891671_Epige](https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Akihito_Shimazu/publication/283891671_Epigenetic_Changes_Caused_by_Occupational_Stress_in_Humans_Revealed_through_Non_invasive_Assessment_of_DNA_Methylation_of_the_Tyrosine_Hydroxylase_Gene/links/56fdf36808ae650a64f559a2.pdf)
7 [netic_Changes_Caused_by_Occupational_Stress_in_Humans_Revealed_through_Non](https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Akihito_Shimazu/publication/283891671_Epigenetic_Changes_Caused_by_Occupational_Stress_in_Humans_Revealed_through_Non_invasive_Assessment_of_DNA_Methylation_of_the_Tyrosine_Hydroxylase_Gene/links/56fdf36808ae650a64f559a2.pdf)
8 [invasive_Assessment_of_DNA_Methylation_of_the_Tyrosine_Hydroxylase_Gene/lin](https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Akihito_Shimazu/publication/283891671_Epigenetic_Changes_Caused_by_Occupational_Stress_in_Humans_Revealed_through_Non_invasive_Assessment_of_DNA_Methylation_of_the_Tyrosine_Hydroxylase_Gene/links/56fdf36808ae650a64f559a2.pdf)
9 [ks/56fdf36808ae650a64f559a2.pdf](https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Akihito_Shimazu/publication/283891671_Epigenetic_Changes_Caused_by_Occupational_Stress_in_Humans_Revealed_through_Non_invasive_Assessment_of_DNA_Methylation_of_the_Tyrosine_Hydroxylase_Gene/links/56fdf36808ae650a64f559a2.pdf) (2015, accessed 6 February 2017).
10
- 11 118. Dunčko R, Kiss A, Škultétyová I, et al. Corticotropin-releasing hormone mRNA levels
12 in response to chronic mild stress rise in male but not in female rats while tyrosine
13 hydroxylase mRNA levels decrease in both sexes. *Psychoneuroendocrinology* 2001;
14 26: 77–89.
15
- 16 119. Kobayashi K, Morita S, Sawada H, et al. Targeted disruption of the tyrosine
17 hydroxylase locus results in severe catecholamine depletion and perinatal lethality in
18 mice. *J Biol Chem* 1995; 270: 27235–27243.
19
- 20 120. Song Y, Miyaki K, Suzuki T, et al. Altered DNA methylation status of human brain
21 derived neurotrophin factor gene could be useful as biomarker of depression. *Am J*
22 *Med Genet B Neuropsychiatr Genet* 2014; 165: 357–364.
23
- 24 121. Schroeder M, Krebs MO, Bleich S, et al. Epigenetics and depression: current challenges
25 and new therapeutic options. *Curr Opin Psychiatry* 2010; 23: 588–592.
26
- 27 122. Yehuda R, Daskalakis NP, Bierer LM, et al. Holocaust Exposure Induced
28 Intergenerational Effects on FKBP5 Methylation. *Biol Psychiatry* 2016; 80: 372–380.
29
- 30 123. Cao-Lei L, Massart R, Suderman MJ, et al. DNA Methylation Signatures Triggered by
31 Prenatal Maternal Stress Exposure to a Natural Disaster: Project Ice Storm. *PLOS*
32 *ONE* 2014; 9: e107653.
33
- 34 124. Cao-Lei L, Elgbeili G, Massart R, et al. Pregnant women's cognitive appraisal of a
35 natural disaster affects DNA methylation in their children 13 years later: Project Ice
36 Storm. *Transl Psychiatry* 2015; 5: e515.
37
- 38 125. van IJzendoorn MH, Caspers K, Bakermans-Kranenburg MJ, et al. Methylation Matters:
39 Interaction Between Methylation Density and Serotonin Transporter Genotype
40 Predicts Unresolved Loss or Trauma. *Biol Psychiatry* 2010; 68: 405–407.
41
- 42 126. Uddin M, Galea S, Chang S-C, et al. Gene expression and methylation signatures of
43 MAN2C1 are associated with PTSD. *Dis Markers* 2011; 30: 111–121.
44
- 45 127. Norrholm SD, Jovanovic T, Smith A, et al. Differential genetic and epigenetic
46 regulation of catechol-O-methyltransferase is associated with impaired fear inhibition
47 in posttraumatic stress disorder. *Front Behav Neurosci* 2013; 7: 30.
48
- 49 128. Sipahi L, Wildman DE, Aiello AE, et al. Longitudinal epigenetic variation of DNA
50 methyltransferase genes is associated with vulnerability to post-traumatic stress
51 disorder. *Psychol Med* 2014; 44: 3165–3179.
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3 129. Almlil LM, Stevens JS, Smith AK, et al. A genome-wide identified risk variant for
4 PTSD is a methylation quantitative trait locus and confers decreased cortical activation
5 to fearful faces. *Am J Med Genet B Neuropsychiatr Genet* 2015; 168: 327–336.
6
7 130. Chen Y, Li X, Kobayashi I, et al. Expression and methylation in posttraumatic stress
8 disorder and resilience; evidence of a role for odorant receptors. *Psychiatry Res* 2016;
9 245: 36–44.
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

For Peer Review

Table 1. Summary of studies examining epigenetic modifications in response to early life adversity during (A) prenatal time points (B) childhood time points or (C) in adults with a history of early life adversity.

References	Type of trauma	Sample Size/Relevant Diagnosis	Tissue Type	Method	Findings	Genome-wide methylation study or target genes
(A) PRENATAL STRESS						
65	Intimate partner domestic violence	24 mothers and children: 8 prenatal exposure to maternal stress, 16 no prenatal exposure to maternal stress	Peripheral blood	Sodium bisulfite sequencing	Increased DNA methylation of the NR3C1 promoter.	NR3C1 exon 1F promoter
64	Pregnant mothers exposed to chronic stress and/or traumatic war-related stress	25 mother-newborn dyads	Maternal peripheral blood and umbilical cord blood	Sodium bisulfite sequencing	Significant correlation between maternal prenatal stress, newborn birth weight and newborn methylation in the <i>NR3C1</i> promoter.	NR3C1 exon 1F promoter
66	Pregnant mothers during genocide	80	Peripheral blood	Bisulfite sequencing	Increased DNA methylation for one PTSD parent; decreased for two PTSD parents.	NR3C1 exon 1F promoter
122	Pregnant mothers during genocide	32 Holocaust survivors and 22 adult offspring; 8 comparable parents and 9 comparable offspring	Peripheral blood	Bisulfite sequencing	Hyper-methylation of the FKBP5 CpGs close to intron 7 glucocorticoid response elements in Holocaust survivors, hypomethylation in Holocaust offspring.	FKBP5 intron 7
123	Pregnant mothers during a severe ice storm	34 children (assessed twice, age 8 and 13) whose mothers were exposed to the ice storm while pregnant.	T cells from peripheral blood mononuclear cells and saliva	Illumina Infinium HumanMethylation450K BeadChip Array; Validation by bisulfite pyro-sequencing	Objective hardship, but not subjective distress, was correlated with DNA methylation in 957 genes, predominately in pathways related to immune function.	Genome-wide methylation study
124	Pregnant mothers during a severe ice storm	36 children of mothers who subjectively rated the consequences of the ice storm as positive or negative.	T cells from peripheral blood mononuclear cells	Illumina Infinium HumanMethylation450K BeadChip Array	CpGs in 1564 different genes and 408 biological pathways (prominently associated with immune function) were differentially methylated in adolescents from positive and negative maternal groups. A large proportion of differentially methylated CpGs and affected pathways overlapped with those associated with objective measures of prenatal maternal stress, as published in Cao-Lei et al., 2014.	Genome-wide methylation study
63	Pregnant mothers exposed to chronic stress and/or traumatic war-related stress	24 mother-newborn dyads	Neonatal cord blood, placenta, maternal blood	Illumina Infinium HumanMethylation450K BeadChip Array	<i>Cord blood:</i> increased methylation in CRH was associated with chronic stress and war trauma. <i>Placenta:</i> reduced methylation of NR3C1 associated with war trauma and chronic stress. War-trauma was also associated with methylation of CRH, FKBP5, CRHBP. <i>Maternal blood:</i> NR3C1 methylation was associated with war trauma. NR3C1, CRH, CRHBP, NR3C1, FKBP5 were nominally associated with war trauma and/or stress.	Targeted analysis CRH, CRHBP, NR3C1, FKBP5

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60**(B) CHILDHOOD STRESS**

76	Children exposed to bullying	28 10 year old bullied children and 28 of their non-bullied monozygotic twins	Buccal cells	Sequenom EpiTYPER platform	Increased SERT DNA methylation observed in bullied children compared to their non-bullied twin.	SLC6A4
72	Childhood abuse (physical, sexual, emotional), neglect, witnessed domestic violence)	94 maltreated and 96 healthy non-traumatized children	Saliva	Illumina Infinium HumanMethylation450K BeadChip Array	Methylation in ID3, GRIN1, and TPPP were genome-wide significant predictors of depression. Maltreated and control children had significantly different methylation profiles of BDNF, NR3C1 and FKBP5	Genome-wide methylation study
75	Cumulative exposure to low socioeconomic status	388 pre-adolescent children (11 to 13 years) of African American ethnicity.	Peripheral blood	Illumina Infinium HumanMethylation450K BeadChip Array	No effect on SLC6A4 methylation across 16 CpG residues, and no effect of the 5-HTTLPR genotype. In females only, one cpG site showed increased methylation in association with cumulative low socioeconomic status.	SLC6A4
71	Childhood trauma before age 13	45 individuals with/without diagnosis of MDD and/or a history of childhood adversity	Peripheral blood	Illumina Infinium HumanMethylation450K BeadChip Array	Methylation of Kit ligand gene (KITLG) strongly mediated the relationship between childhood trauma and cortisol stress reactivity.	Genome-wide methylation study
31	Various types of stress (perinatal stress, stressful life events and traumatic youth experiences)	468 adolescents (mean age 16 years)	Peripheral blood	Bisulfite conversion and quantitative mass spectrometry	Increased DNA methylation of 11 CpGs in the promoter of NR3C1 exon 1D after stressful life events and after exposure to traumatic youth experiences.	NR3C1
73	Children exposed to extended severe adversity before the age of 43 months	49 individuals assessed at ages 15 years compared to adoptees exposed to stress <6 months or no early life stress.	Buccal cell samples	Illumina Infinium HumanMethylation450K BeadChip Array	A differentially methylated region spanning 9 CpG sites in the promoter-regulatory region of the CYP2E1 gene was identified, and associated with deprivation related clinical markers of impaired social cognition.	CYP2E1

C) ADULTS WITH A HISTORY OF EARLY LIFE ADVERSITY

74	Healthy young adults with recent life stress/trauma and/or a childhood and prenatal trauma	133	Peripheral blood	Bisulfite pyrosequencing	No effects of prenatal, early or recent life stress/trauma on the mean SLC6A4 methylation levels and no correlation between methylation and SERT mRNA expression. Hyper-methylation at 2 CpGs were significantly associated with mRNA expression and prenatal stress/trauma, but not recent life stress/trauma.	SLC6A4
----	--	-----	------------------	--------------------------	---	--------

125	Adults adopted in the first few months after birth into middle class families, with psychological dysfunction due to unresolved loss or trauma	143 adoptees	EBV transformed lymphoblast cell lines	Bisulfite conversion and quantitative mass spectrometry	Higher methylation of the SLC6A4 promoter was associated with increased risk of unresolved responses to loss or other trauma in participants carrying a SNP in SLC6A4, usually with protective associations. The risk allele predicted more unresolved loss or trauma in participants with lower levels of methylation.	SLC6A4
100	Childhood abuse leading to PTSD	110 (25 PTSD + childhood abuse, 25 PTSD no childhood abuse, 26 controls with childhood abuse, 34 controls without childhood abuse)	Peripheral blood	Illumina Human Methylation27 BeadChip	Increased global methylation PTSD vs controls. Five gene promoters were differentially methylated (TPR, CLEC9A, APC5, ANXA2, and TLR8) in PTSD compared to controls, but no CpGs were associated with childhood abuse.	Genome-wide methylation study
28	Severe psychiatric disorders with history of childhood adversity	101 Borderline personality disorder, 99 major depression, 15 major depression with PTSD	Peripheral blood	Bisulfite pyrosequencing	Severity and number of type of childhood sexual abuse correlated with NR3C1 methylation	NR3C1
69	Male suicide completers with a history of childhood adversity	25 with history of childhood abuse, 16 control subjects	Hippocampal tissue	Custom 400K eArray (Agilent Technologies)	Methylation patterns of gene promoters between those with childhood adversity and those without were distinct.	Genome-wide methylation study
78	PTSD, physical and sexual abuse during childhood (CTQ)	76 (30 ≥ 2 childhood trauma, 46 no childhood trauma)	Peripheral blood	Bisulfite pyrosequencing	DNA demethylation in a functional glucocorticoid response element in FKBP5 in the presence of FKBP5 functional polymorphism increased risk to psychiatric disorder later in life.	FKBP5
79	Individuals with history of childhood abuse, with and without PTSD	169 (32 PTSD affected, 77 PTSD unaffected)	Peripheral blood	Illumina Human Methylation 450K array	Distinct (95%) gene expression profiles seen in PTSD groups with a history of childhood abuse compared to those with no history of childhood abuse, which appeared to be mediated by changes of DNA methylation.	Genome-wide methylation study

Table 2. Summary of studies examining epigenetic changes in response to trauma in adulthood, with a focus on genome-wide methylation studies and candidate gene studies in post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD).

References	Type of trauma	Sample Size	Tissue Type	Method	Findings	Target (genome-wide methylation study or genes)
101	PTSD due to traumatic event(s)	23 PTSD affected, 77 PTSD unaffected	Peripheral blood	Illumina Human Methylation27 BeadChip	Genes associated with immune function were un-methylated and negatively correlated with traumatic burden in PTSD	Genome-wide methylation study
100	PTSD due to traumatic event(s)	25 PTSD with history of childhood trauma, 25 PTSD without history of childhood trauma, 26 controls with and 24 controls without childhood trauma	Peripheral blood	Illumina Human Methylation27 BeadChip	Global increase in methylation levels in PTSD vs controls. CpG sites in TPR, CLEC9A, APC5, ANXA2, and TLR8 were differentially methylated in subjects with PTSD. A CpG site in NPPFR2 was associated with total life stress after adjustment for multiple testing.	Genome-wide methylation study
112	PTSD due to traumatic event(s)	107 PTSD	Peripheral blood	Illumina Human Methylation27 BeadChip	A SNP in a putative oestrogen response element within ADCYAP1R1, rs2267735, predicted PTSD and symptoms in females; the SNP also associated with fear discrimination and ADCYAP1R1 expression in human brain; methylation of ADCYAP1R1 was associated with PTSD in peripheral blood	ADCYAP1R1
126	PTSD due to traumatic event(s)	23 PTSD affected, 77 PTSD unaffected	Peripheral blood	Illumina Human Methylation27 BeadChip	Risk of lifetime PTSD was markedly higher in patients with higher MAN2C1 methylation with greater exposure to PTEs	33 candidate genes associated with PTSD (based on RNA expression)
113	PTSD: accumulative exposure to traumatic effects after initial trauma	23 PTSD-affected, 77 PTSD-unaffected	Peripheral blood	Pyrosequencing	Increased risk for PTSD in cases with reduced DNA methylation levels	SLC6A4
102	PTSD due to combat exposure	75 with post-deployment PTSD, 75 without post-deployment PTSD	Peripheral blood	Pyrosequencing	Hyper-methylation of Line1 in non-PTSD group pre- vs. post-deployment; Hypo-methylated Line1 in PTSD vs. non-PTSD post-deployment. Alu was hyper-methylated in cases vs. controls pre-deployment	LINE1 and Alu
114	PTSD due to traumatic event(s)	62 PTSD, 258 controls	Peripheral blood	Illumina Human Methylation27 BeadChip	Risk allele carriers (9R) showed increased risk of lifetime PTSD only in conjunction with high promoter methylation of SLC6A3	SLC6A3
127	PTSD due to traumatic event(s)	98 PTSD, 172 no PTSD	Peripheral blood	Illumina Human Methylation27 BeadChip	Increased COMT promoter methylation associated with impaired fear inhibition	COMT
103	PTSD due to combat exposure	74 post-deployment PTSD, 74 no PTSD	Peripheral blood	Pyrosequencing	Reduced methylation of H19 and IL18 in those resilient to PTSD after deployment. Post-deployment PTSD individuals increased IL8 methylation after deployment.	IGF2, H19, IL8, IL16, IL18

104	PTSD due to traumatic event(s)	23 PTSD affected, 77 PTSD unaffected	Peripheral blood	Illumina Human Methylation27 BeadChip	Methylation in genes predominately related to nervous system function and risk to develop PTSD was modified by low socioeconomic status.	Genome-wide methylation study
107	Veterans with PTSD received who prolonged exposure (PE) psychotherapy	PTSD PE responders (n = 8), defined by no longer meeting diagnostic criteria for PTSD, and non-responders (n = 8)	Peripheral blood lymphocytes	Targeted bisulfite sequencing	Methylation NR3C1 exon 1F assessed at pre-treatment predicted treatment outcome, but was not significantly altered in responders or non-responders at post-treatment or follow-up. Methylation of the FKBP5 gene (FKBP51) exon 1 promoter region did not predict treatment response, but decreased in association with recovery.	NR3C1 and FKBP5 promoters
105	PTSD due to combat exposure	152 PTSD	Saliva	Pyrosequencing	Increased DNA methylation in men	NR3C1 exon 1F promoter
128	Subjects with pre and post incidental-trauma with and without PTSD	30 PTSD and 30 controls	Peripheral blood	Pyrosequencing	Differential DNA methylation levels of DNMTs in cases vs controls	DNMT1, DNMT3A, DNMT3B, DNMT3L
29	PTSD due to combat exposure	122 PTSD	Peripheral blood	Pyrosequencing	Reduced DNA methylation of the NR3C1 promoter in PTSD	NR3C1 exon 1F promoter
129	PTSD due to combat exposure (high exposure)	63 with severe PTSD and 84 without PTSD	Peripheral blood	Illumina Human Methylation 450K Beadchip	A genome-wide significant SNP (rs717947) was identified and associated with PTSD symptoms. The SNP was then discovered to be as a methylation quantitative trait loci which was also associated with altered DLPFC activation in response to fearful faces.	Genome-wide methylation study
108	PTSD due to combat exposure	76 controls and 23 PTSD	Peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs)	Illumina HumanMethylation27 BeadChip	Global DNA methylation level did not differ significantly between control and PTSD. The promoters of several individual immune function genes were differentially methylated and/or were more highly expressed.	Genome-wide methylation study
109	PTSD due to combat exposure	76 controls and 23 PTSD	Peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs)	Illumina HumanMethylation27 BeadChip	326 genes and 190 miRNAs were had altered expression levels in PTSD. DNA methylation of differentially expressed genes showed a trend toward differences between control and PTSD.	Genome-wide methylation study
130	PTSD due to traumatic event(s)	12 PTSD, 12 without PTSD but with the similar level of trauma exposure	Peripheral blood	Affymetrix Gene Chip Human Exon 1.0 ST Array	No differences with adjusted significance for DNA methylation were found.	Genome-wide methylation study
111	PTSD due to combat exposure	200 trauma exposed veterans (+145 with structural brain image information)	Peripheral blood	Illumina Human Methylation 450K Beadchip	DNA methylation of rs7208505 was associated with reduced thickness in of several cortical areas; methylation was also positively associated with PTSD symptom severity.	SKA2 methylation at the rs7208505 locus

Table 3. Summary of studies examining epigenetic changes in response to non-traumatic chronic stress exposure in adulthood.

References	Type of trauma	Sample Size	Tissue Type	Method	Findings	Target genes
30	Shift-working nurses with burnout or depression	24 high stress, 25 low stress	Peripheral blood leukocytes	Direct sanger bisulfite sequencing and Methylation 450k Beadchip	Nurses with stress had lower promoter methylation at all CpG residues compared to those with low stress. Methylation levels were not associated with burnout/depression.	SLC6A4
120	Manufacturing workers	180 (quartiles of 90 with lowest and highest job strain with bottom and top quartiles compared)	Saliva leukocytes	Illumina Human Methylation27 BeadChip	Slightly increased methylation across the all tested CpGs in BDNF in high stress compared to low stress occupational environments. No group-specific differences in promoter methylation.	BDNF
117	Japanese manufacturers	180 (quartiles of 90 with lowest and highest job strain with bottom and top quartiles compared)	Saliva leukocytes	Illumina Human Methylation27 BeadChip	Methylation of TH CpG sites and the flanking 5' region were higher in individuals exposed to high occupational stress compared to individuals working in low stress environments.	TH
116	Healthy adult males chronic lifestyle stressors	105 (18 to 77 years old)	Peripheral blood mononuclear cells	Global methylation (LINE-1; PyroMark) and Sequenom EpiTyper MassArray of SLC6A4 locus	Chronic stress correlated with global DNA methylation, which appeared to be driven by a 5-HTTLPR genotype effect.	LINE-1 and SLC6A4